

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

10 EDWARD JAMES HARRIS,

11 Petitioner,

12 v.

13 WASHINGTON STATE
14 PENITENTIARY,

Respondent.

CASE NO. 3:19-cv-05991-RJB-JRC

ORDER TO AMEND § 2254
PETITION

15
16 The District Court referred this matter filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 to the undersigned.
17 *See* Dkt. 2. The matter is before the Court on petitioner’s petition for a writ of habeas corpus, of
18 which the Court must conduct a preliminary review to determine whether it plainly appears that
19 petitioner is not entitled to relief. *See* Rule 4, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United
20 States District Courts (“§ 2254 Rules”).

21 The petition is deficient in several respects. First, petitioner names “Washington State
22 Penitentiary” as respondent, but the proper respondent is the person having custody over
23
24

1 respondent (such as the superintendent), not the institution where he is incarcerated. *See* Dkt. 8,
2 at 1; *see also* Rule 2(a), § 2254 Rules.

3 Second, in order to determine the District in which venue is proper, the Court must know
4 the name of the state superior court that convicted petitioner. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).
5 Petitioner does not provide the name of the superior court that convicted him. *See* Dkt. 8, at 1.


6 Third, petitioner must specify “the facts supporting each ground” for relief that he
7 alleges. Rule 2(c), § 2254 Rules. Here, petitioner appears to allege a violation of his Sixth
8 Amendment right to the effective assistance of counsel. Dkt. 8, at 5. However, he states only
9 the standard for evaluating such a claim without providing facts about how his counsel was
10 allegedly deficient. *See* Dkt. 8, at 5. “Conclusory allegations which are not supported by a
11 statement of specific facts do not warrant habeas relief.” *James v. Borg*, 24 F.3d 20, 26 (9th Cir.
12 1994) (internal citation omitted). Petitioner must explain to the best of his abilities how his
13 counsel was ineffective.

14 If petitioner intends to pursue this § 2254 action, he must file an amended petition on the
15 form provided by the Court. The amended petition must be legibly written or typed in its
16 entirety. It should be an original, not a copy. It should contain the case number, and it may not
17 incorporate any part of the original petition by reference. The amended petition shall operate as
18 a complete substitute for the original petition. The amended petition must name the correct
19 respondent, answer the questions on the form to the best of petitioner’s ability, and specify the
20 facts underlying petitioner’s grounds for relief.

21 If petitioner fails to comply with this order on or before **April 30, 2020**, the undersigned
22 will recommend dismissal of this action.

1 The Clerk shall provide petitioner with the appropriate form for a § 2254 habeas petition.

2 Dated this 31st day of March, 2020.

3
4
5 

6 J. Richard Creatura
7 United States Magistrate Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24